

ERTMS

A guide for stakeholders

Brussels, January 2020



Disclaimer

This report does not commit EIM or any of its members. It does not replace any publication of the European Commission or the EU Agency for Railways.

This report is made available "as is" and without any guarantees being offered. Hence, the use of this Report and of the information contained thereon occurs exclusively at the risk of the reader.

Author: EIM aisbl, 1, square de Meeûs, B-1000 Brussels, T.: +32.2.234.37.70



Table of Contents

Inti	roduction	5
1.	ERTMS in a nutshell	6
	What is ERTMS?	6
	Why ERTMS?	6
	What about the national systems?	6
	What is the timeline of ERTMS?	8
	What are the benefits of ERTMS?	8
	What are the benefits of ERTMS for IMs and RUs ?	9
	Is ERTMS mandatory ?	9
	What are the challenges of ERTMS?	9
2.	ERTMS Deployment	11
	What are the main deployment initiatives of the EU?	11
	What is the role of TEN-T for ERTMS deployment?	11
	What are the Core Network Corridors - CNC?	11
	What is the status of ERTMS deployment on CNC?	12
	What is the ERTMS European Deployment Plan (EDP)?	13
	What are the National Implementation Plans (NIPs)?	14
	What is the current status of NIPs?	14
	What are the Memoranda of Understanding (MoU)?	14
	Have the various EU initiatives fostered ERTMS deployment?	15
3.	ERTMS Funding	16
	What are the main funding tools of the EU?	16
	What are the main funding tools for ERTMS?	
	What about research funds for ERTMS?	17
	Do EU funds cover the investment needs?	17



4.	The role of the 4 th Railway Package	.18
	What is the 4th Railway Package (4RP)?	. 18
	Why is the 4RP relevant for ERTMS?	. 18
	What is the ERTMS Trackside approval?	. 19
	What is the role of the EU Agency for Railways?	. 19
	Has the ERTMS Trackside Approval any impact on IMs?	. 20
	What is the issue list?	. 20
	What is the role of the ERTMS Stakeholders Platform?	. 21
5.	ERTMS in the future	.22
	Does ERTMS have a bright future?	. 22
	What about the global evolution of ERTMS ?	. 22
	What's the situation in Europe?	. 23
	How does ERTMS interface with Automatic Train Operations (ATO)?	. 24
	What are the challenges related to ATO?	. 25
	What will happen after GSM-R has become obsolete?	. 25
	What are the key challenges related to a new communications technology ?	. 26
	How does ERTMS interface with Galileo?	. 27
	What are the other main industry initiatives to boost interoperability?	. 27
Re	ferences	.30



Introduction

The European control-command, signalling and communication system (ERTMS) was launched in the early 1990s as a research project by some engineers and evolved over time to become the main flagship initiative of the EU. The objectives of ERTMS are multiple: increase safety and capacity of the railway system, decrease costs due to easier maintenance and ensure a fully interoperable system by replacing the national legacy systems. Furthermore, the EU and the European industry endeavour to establish ERTMS as a global reference.

By 2030, the European Union has the ambition to equip the TEN-T core network corridors with ERTMS. To reach this target, the EU has set up various platforms in charge of solving interoperability issues, harmonise laboratory tests and protocols, work on the next generation of ERTMS and cater for the arrival of new technologies, such as Automatic Train Operations (ATO).

ERTMS is an important technology for European rail infrastructure managers (IMs) and a true game changer. IMs are involved in all relevant working groups, user groups, platforms, etc. As users of the technology, IMs are also very keen in contributing to a well-functioning, harmonised signalling technology, to make it 'plug-and-play'. They also address the main trends in the market regarding service, quality, reliability and digitalisation in order to support ERTMS as a global reference.

The current 'ERTMS guide' was initially developed for the members of EIM. Given the general interest in it, EIM has developed a public version for all stakeholders. It intends to provide you with a fresh look on the main changes, opportunities and challenges related to 'ERTMS'.

Enjoy the read.

Monika Heiming

Executive Director EIM aisbl



1. ERTMS in a nutshell

	ERTMS ("European Rail Traffic Management System") is the European standard for the Automatic Train Protection (ATP) that allows an interoperable railway system in Europe. Furthermore, ERTMS is a major industrial project being implemented by Europe, a project whose aim is to make rail transport safer and more competitive.
	As an ATP, ERTMS is a safety system that enforces compliance by the train with speed restrictions and signalling status. Due to its nature and the required functions, it is a system that must be installed on both, the rail track ('trackside version') and the locomotives ('on-board version').
What is ERTMS?	ERTMS was adopted by the European Union as a standard.
	ERTMS is <u>not</u> the same as ETCS. ERTMS is composed of ETCS and GSM-R:
	 ETCS (European Train Control System), is an automatic train protection system (ATP) that continuously ensures that the train does not exceed the safe speed and distance. In addition, it provides the relevant information to support the task of the train driver. GSM-R (Global System for Mobile Communications - Railways), is a dedicated radio communication system for voice and data services supporting railway operations. It is essential for ERTMS in terms of data transmission.
	An interoperable railway market needs common technical solutions, also in the area of signalling. As different national signalling systems existed with different features and performance levels, the sector and the EU agreed to develop a single European system, called ERTMS. The reason for doing so was to overcome the technical barriers related to national signalling systems, preventing trains from crossing borders. Hence, ERTMS is one of the key enablers to create a single European railway area (SERA) in which trains can circulate in a seamless manner.
Why ERTMS?	An important aspect is also the fact that national systems have been developed by a national or a single manufacturer for a single client. This created a sort of 'locked-in system' which did not allow for easy interfacing with the system of the neighbouring country. Furthermore, the users and the EU were targeting cost reductions, as a single European system would allow more mass-produced components which would be cheaper. In addition, a single European system would also become easier and quicker to maintain. However, in May 2019, this target has not been reached but is a focus area that is prioritised by the European Commission.
What about the national systems?	As outlined above, each EU Member State developed its own technical specifications for signalling, gauge width, safety and electricity standards. These differences are historic and meant to create a barrier of entry for foreign train operators. With the emergence of the EU and the objective to create a Single European Rail Area (SERA), these obstacles have to be successively reduced as liberalisation of the rail markets came into play. The existing barriers to enter a market are now less legal but more technical. The



removal of technical barriers is more complicated due to the safety-related features of these systems.

Due to the fact that national rail infrastructure and signalling systems have grown organically over many years, changes to parts of this system are very complicated. In the field of signalling, this translates into a much slower process to switch from a national to a European system. Hence, in 2018, there were still some 30 different signalling systems in place, which were not interoperable.

Railway operators complain that after so many years, they still cannot cross the EU with a single locomotive. In addition, track-side and on-board versions do not always work smoothly together, especially when they come from different suppliers. In that case, the experts refer to 'open points', which need to be closed. For the moment, there are 16 open points related to interference issues between different hardware and software.



The chart below shows the current and the target system:

Chart: EU Agency for Railways

Despite these problems, ERTMS has become a global standard and is deployed all over the world. The global success is due to the fact that other railway markets are much less fragmented and were less developed. Hence the shift to a new system is much easier.

Although the deployment of ERTMS in Europe is cumbersome, the system is also a key enabler for innovative technologies in an effective manner, such as automatic train operations (ATO) which requires more interfaces.









An operational expenditure (Opex) is the money a company spends on an ongoing, day-to-day basis in order to run a system. 2020-01-30-EIM-ERTMS guide



CC de In te E in de th of R lo E W H	nd it is mandatory to onnection / comme evelopment of comme frastructure manage ichnology on their tr RTMS). The digit teroperability amone ployment of the new e IMs that should not operations and mai ailway operators als comotives with ER RTMS-compliant. In ith ERTMS, irrespectowever, recent figure	b establish clear star nunication interfaces non procedures. ers are facing depl racks and maintainin calisation of interlo g different subsyste w communication tecl of be underestimated ntenance. so face deployment of TMS or to buy new addition, locomotives tive whether they run	rea, ERTMS system is necess adards for systems on board s between modules and loyment costs for installing g multiple systems (national ockings, the need to en ms, as well as the forthcom hnologies, are also challenge . IMs will likely face new meth costs by having to equip exist of locomotives which are alread s or trainsets have to be equip on ERTMS equipped lines or ts are falling as more and r RTMS.	the the and sure ning s for nods sting eady oped not.
		rs an indicative cost for frastructure manager	or all ERTMS-related expend s and operators.	iture
	III subsystems) for in	frastructure manage	s and operators.	iture
			rs and operators.	iture
	III subsystems) for in	frastructure manage	rs and operators. Retrofitting gers Costs for:	iture
	II subsystems) for in Trackside <u>Trackside costs/km</u> :	frastructure manager On-Board Infrastructure Manag	rs and operators. Retrofitting gers Costs for: • compatibility checks;	iture
	II subsystems) for in Trackside	frastructure manager On-Board Infrastructure Manag Not applicable	rs and operators. Retrofitting gers Costs for: • compatibility checks; • studies	iture
	III subsystems) for in Trackside <u>Trackside costs/km</u> : 100.000 € - 350.000 €	frastructure manager On-Board Infrastructure Manag Not applicable Railway Operator	rs and operators. Retrofitting gers Costs for: • compatibility checks; • studies	iture
	II subsystems) for in Trackside <u>Trackside costs/km</u> :	frastructure manager On-Board Infrastructure Manag Not applicable	rs and operators. Retrofitting gers Costs for: • compatibility checks; • studies	iture



2. ERTMS Deployment

What are the main deployment initiatives of the EU?	 The EU is keen in fostering a deployment as quickly as possible to realise its objective of a Single European Railway Area without any market access hurdles. Hence, the EU has developed a number of initiatives to remind EU Member States of different deployment targets and to have a clearer picture about the progress on a national level. The main initiatives are: Trans-European Network Transport Programme (TEN-T) Core Network Corridor regulation (CNC) European Deployment Plans (EDPs) National Implementation Plans (NIPs) Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs)
What is the role of TEN-T for ERTMS deployment?	The Trans-European Network Transport (TEN-T) Programme was established by the EU in 2006 to support the construction and upgrade of [interoperable] transport infrastructure across the European Union. The TEN-T Guidelines (Regulation 1315/2013) were adopted in 2013 establishing an EU policy on transport infrastructure. The European Commission is currently evaluating the implementation of Regulation 1315/2013 with the aim to revise this legislation in 2020. The TEN-T projects are not related to rail only but to all modes which provide connectivity, i.e. air, rail, road, waterways, logistics and intelligent transport systems. The TEN-T applies to all EU Member States. To steer the deployment of the TEN-T, the EU set up a special "Executive Agency in charge of the deployment of TEN-T". In 2014, the agency became the "Innovation and Networks Executive Agency" (INEA), with the objective to increase the efficiency of the technical and financial management of the relevant EU programmes supporting the deployment of TEN-T.
What are the Core Network Corridors - CNC?	 Given the complexity and costs involved with the implementation of the TEN-T, the EU realised that Member States would not be able to meet the initial implementation deadline of 2030 for the entire network. Hence, it reformed its TEN-T approach by splitting the TEN-T network in a Comprehensive and a Core Network. While there is no fixed deadline for the Comprehensive Network, the deadline of 2030 applies to the Core Network Corridors (CNC). Regulation (EU) No 1315/2013 established core networks for the following ways of transport: Inland waterways and ports Railways (freight), ports and rail road terminals (RRT) Roads, ports, rail road terminals (RRT) and airports











	consultations and negotiations with Member States, carried out by the European ERTMS coordinator.
	In 2016, the EC also asked the EU Member States to establish the so-called "National Implementation Plans" (NIPs) in which they have to describe their actions to comply with the relevant standard for ERTMS, i.e. CCS TSI 2016/919. The CCS TSI regulates the implementation of fully interoperable 'control-command and signalling' subsystems. The NIPs have to fulfil two conditions, i.e. they must cover a period of at least 15 years and they must be updated every 5 years. In addition, they have to contain the following information:
What are the National Implementation Plans (NIPs)?	General and Context Description Technical migration strategy Facts and figures on existing train protection systems Overlay on-board or overlay at trackside Financial migration strategy (both infrastructure and rolling- stock side)
	Chart: European Commission, EIM
	Most EU Member States have submitted their NIP during 2018 detailing their ERTMS implementation plan. According to the EC, the current NIPs of Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic,
What is the current status of NIPs?	Norway and Sweden are fully in line with the European Development Plan (EDP), with some of the ERTMS sections being deployed even ahead of the deadlines. Poland, Italy, Switzerland, Slovakia, Croatia and the Netherlands are 'mostly' in line with the EDP. The same principle applies to France, Germany, Bulgaria and Latvia, although their NIPs do not mention detailed planning beyond 2023. Finland provided deployment dates beyond 2030 while Portugal did not provide enough details for a compliance check by the EC.
What are the Memoranda of	Despite the various EU legislations and initiatives outlined above, the EU Commission, the EU Agency for Railways and the rail sector realised that the success of ERTMS requires a commonly agreed, collective, disciplined and structured approach.
Memoranda of Understanding (MoU)?	To confirm these principles and create a sort of self-commitment, the EU and the sector signed 5 Memoranda of Understanding (MoU) between 2005 and 2016, initiated by the EU Agency for Railways and the rail sector itself.



	Despite the initiatives taken at EU level to facilitate and speed up ERTMS deployment, ongoing issues and obstacles remain at different levels:
	 National issues: Uncoordinated ERTMS trackside deployment.
Have the various	 Technical issues: Requirements introduced to On Board Units (OBUs), e.g. due to national rules. Due to the specific requirements for OBUs, trains can run in one Member State but not necessarily in another. In addition, high variety of trackside configurations impact testing procedures and raising of costs. There is a lack of standard functionalities.
EU initiatives fostered ERTMS deployment?	 Conformity and authorisation issues: Different assessments by National Safety Agencies (NSAs) on whether modifications are minor or major (with re-authorisation being needed for major modifications).
	 Financial issues: Short-term economic incentives for suppliers and customers may work against the goal of interoperability.
	 Commercial issues: the low number of ERTMS suppliers does not incentivize competition, innovation and reduction of costs.
	To summarise: ERTMS could offer a great added value, provided that ongoing issues can be solved.



3. ERTMS Funding

	The EU has four main funding instruments for ERTMS:
	1. CEF – Connecting Europe Facility The Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) for Transport is the funding instrument to realise European transport infrastructure policy. It aims at supporting investments in building new transport infrastructure in Europe or rehabilitating and upgrading the existing one. Synergies between CEF Transport and CEF Digital are possible for ERTMS funding.
	2. CEF – Transport Blending Facility The Transport Blending Facility combines grants and debt from private or public investors, such as the European Investment Bank (EIB), and can be used by rail Infrastructure Managers with high credit quality to support the deployment of ERTMS. A dedicated rolling call for new project proposals was published in November 2019 ²
What are the main funding tools of the EU?	 ESIF Funds - European Structural and Investment Funds Over half of EU funding is channelled through the 5 European structural and investment funds (ESIF). They are jointly managed by the European Commission and the EU countries. The ESIF mainly focuses on 5 areas: research and innovation digital technologies supporting the low-carbon economy sustainable management of natural resources small businesses
	4. EFSI - European Fund for Strategic Investments The European Fund for Strategic Investments is an initiative to help to overcome the current investment gap in the EU. Jointly launched by the EIB Group and the European Commission, it aims to mobilise private investment in projects which are strategically important for the EU. The EFSI 2.0 Regulation entered into force on 30 th December 2017 and extends the timeline from mid-2018 to the end of 2020 with an investment target from EUR 315 billion to at least EUR 500 billion. Under the new EU financial framework 2021-2027, the European Commission has proposed to replace EFSI with a new initiative called InvestEU Programme. This initiative aims to trigger EUR 650 billion of investments for sustainable infrastructures by blending them with EUR 40 billion of guarantees.
What are the main funding tools for ERTMS?	According to official estimates of the EU and the sector, the costs of deploying ERTMS on the CNC total some EUR 80 billion till 2030 and up to EUR 190 billion by 2050 when the comprehensive network is 'expected' to be equipped with ERTMS.

² https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/connecting-europe-facility/cef-transport/apply-funding/blending-facility



	According to the Europ ERTMS on the core net and 2030 would cost are The Commission estima ERTMS trackside on the 5 billion for ERTMS in re The EU budget mostly c trackside: equipping	work (both on tra bund EUR 107 bi ates around EUR core Network (biling stock. co-finances two ty rail tracks with t	ackside and on b Illion. 27-41 billion inv Corridors by 2030 ypes of projects i he necessary ec	poard) between 2017 restments needed for 0 and around EUR 4 n relation to ERTMS
	 on-board: equipping Other co-financed projecorridor approach projec The main financial supp 	cts consisting of cts may also be e ort of the EU for	testing, develop eligible for suppo TEN-T is illustra	rt. ted below:
		2007-2013	2014-2020	Co-financing rate
	TEN-T/CEF	645 570	850	Up to 50 %
	ERDF/Cohesion Fund/ESIF		1 900 2 750	op to 85 %
	lotai	1 213	2750	
ERTMS trackside deployment and purchase of new rolling stock equip ERTMS (sometimes blended with EU grants, see above CEF T Blending Facility).			ove CEF Transpor	
What about research funds for ERTMS?	The main research instrument for rail-related research is Shift2Rail. It is a so- called 'Joint Undertaking', i.e. a public-private partnership with 50% of the funds provided by the EU and 50% by the sector participating in the initiative. The Shift2Rail funds for the period 2014-2020 foresee the following overall investment framework: EUR 450 million from the EU budget EUR 470 million from industry			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lu roproceto o	limited percentage of
Do EU funds cover the investment needs?	 The EU funding available for ERTMS only represents a limited percentage of the overall cost of deployment with most of the financing to be found from other sources. The EU financial support for ERTMS projects during the 2007-2020 period amounts to EUR 4 billion, or less than 5 % of the total cost of ERTMS deployment on core network corridors. There is a general concern that the next EU multi-annual financial framework (MFF3) for 2021-2027 will not provide enough financial resources for ERTMS development and deployment. The key financial instrument for ERTMS is 'classical' CEF funding. Therefore, the EU budget negotiations for MFF3 and the related CEF2 in 2020 will be crucial for ERTMS. 			



4. The role of the 4th Railway Package

	Since 1991, the EU railway sector is constantly being reformed by the European Union. The largest initiative is the 4 th Railway Package, which was adopted in 2016. It is by far the largest and most complex legal initiative as it was launched to close the open gaps in rail liberalisation and establish a single legal and technical European railway area. The 4 th RP is split in two pillars:
What is the 4th Railway Package (4RP)?	 The political pillar addresses market opening (governance of rail infrastructure managers, tendering of public service contracts and access to national rail passenger markets); The technical pillar addresses interoperability, safety authorisation, ERTMS track-side certification but also the reduction of national rules and the increased role of the EU Agency for Railways (ERA) to deliver authorisations and certifications.
	For more information about the 4 th Railway Package, please refer to the EIM Beginners Guide on the 4 th Railway Package which will be available on EIM's website <u>www.eimrail.org</u> .
	For ERTMS and for the purpose of this report, the technical pillar and in particular its EU Directive 2016/797 "Interoperability" and its EU Regulation 2016/796 "EUAR" are relevant. They foresee a formal (legal) shift from the current national to a European single certification and authorisation system run by the EU Agency for Railways (ERA).
	The main changes are:
Why is the 4RP relevant for ERTMS?	 Shift of technical and safety rule-making from the national to the EU level Issuing of safety certificates and vehicle authorisations by the ERA Creation of a new ERTMS trackside approval procedure by the ERA Fees and charges of the ERA for its certification, authorisation and approval activities (including ERTMS trackside approvals) Reduction of national rules by the ERA Creation of new tools and processes by the ERA (IT portal, board of appeal, pool of experts, etc.)
	The EU Agency for Railways, the sector but also EU DG MOVE and Members States are currently preparing for the implementation of these reforms. In 2017 the EU Agency for Railways launched the so-called 'learning cases' into the processes on national and IM level related to ERTMS track side components.
	The ERA formal mandate related to ERTMS track side approvals entered into force on 16 th June 2019 for those EU Member States which have opted for the implementation of the 4th Railway Package by that date (the alternative being the 16th June 2020) ³ .

³ The following EU Members States agreed to implement the 4th RP as of June 2019: Italy, the Netherlands, France, Croatia, Bulgaria, Finland, Greece, Romania and Slovenia (plus Switzerland). All other MS have postponed the implementation to June 2020.



	The ERTMS track side approval was introduced by the EU to ensure greater interoperability of ERTMS trackside systems by scrutinising the tenders before they are published.
	In fact, the initiative is a follow-up of the reactions (or rather complaints) of the railway undertakings, according to which rail infrastructure is not interoperable as regards ERTMS equipment as every infrastructure manager launches tenders 'à la carte' instead of following the EU requirements in a strict and disciplined manner.
	This means that from 16 th June 2019 onwards, rail infrastructure managers will have to submit their tenders for ERTMS track-side components to the EU Agency for Railways for approval before they can proceed with the actual tender procedure on national level.
	The procedure, detailed in art. 19 of Directive (EU) 2016/797, consists of a 3- step approach:
	 Before any call for tender on ERTMS trackside equipment, the Agency must check that the technical solutions are fully in compliance with the relevant TSIs and are therefore fully interoperable, and take a decision for
What is the ERTMS Trackside approval?	 approval; Within 1 month of the receipt of the applicant's request (i.e. the IM), the Agency informs the IM that the tender is complete or asks for relevant supplementary information, setting a reasonable deadline; The Agency shall base its opinion on the tender of the IM and on possible opinions from the National Safety Authorities (NSAs).
	The ERTMS trackside approval procedure is illustrated below:
	Trackside approval procedure
	Provide the second seco
	Submission and verification of completeness Applicant submits request for inportant for an portant trackaskle project The applicant shall provide the description of the technical envidences of the compliance with the CCS TSI Applicant Applicant uploads and submits available documentation and widences in CSS Verification of completeness Applicant submits request for inportant for an portant trackaskle project The applicant shall provide the description of the technical envidences of the compliance with the CCS TSI Applicant typicant typicant typicant Applicant typicant typicant
	Assessment and decision Application file is considered conclusion is reached Application file is considered conclusion Application file is is reached Application file is considered conclusion Application file is is reached Applicat
	NSA authorizes the project including the ERTMS trackside subsystem NSA authorises the project including the ERTMS trackside subsystem NSA authorises the project including the ERTMS trackside subsystem NSA authorises the project including the ERTMS trackside subsystem NSA authorises the project including the ERTMS The Agency decision on the Agency decision on the track installation and the approval that be part of the application the tor authorisation
	Chart: EU Agency for Railways, EIM
What is the role of the EU Agency	The EU Agency for Railways has a much bigger role than 'only' checking tenders for ERTMS trackside equipment for EU interoperability compliance.
for Railways?	It is also the ERTMS system authority, which provides it with enlarged powers related to ERTMS, such as:



	 maintain, monitor and manage the corresponding subsystem requirements, including ETCS and GSM-R; new tasks (currently carried out by the NSA) regarding the authorisation of rolling stock (including ERTMS on-board subsystems) and safety certificates for RUs; new process concerning the pre-approval of ERTMS trackside implementations. The Annual Work Programme of the Agency for 2018 ('SPD 2018') also states that the Agency will elaborate a harmonised operational rulebook to foster the consistent use of ERTMS.
Has the ERTMS Trackside Approval any impact on IMs?	 Yes, it has. The impact can be resumed as follows: Financial: The Agency will invoice fees & charges for its approval process of ERTMS trackside tenders. The current rate/hr was fixed by the EU at 130 EUR/hour. This fee will be complementary to those charged by the National Safety Authorities (NSAs) which are free to charge individual rates. According to internal assessments of EIM, a single application can cost up to EUR 0,5 million. <i>NB: EIM has lobbied for fixed fees and an initial fee estimate prior to the actual assessment process. However, given the fact that this process is new on EU level and virtually no benchmark exists and that the return from the 'learning cases' launched in 2017 by the EU Agency of Railways is still too low, IMs have a strong interest in preparing a fully EU-compliant file to avoid excessive costs and time delays.</i> Procedural: The Agency will set up a One-Stop-Shop (OSS) as an IT portal to handle all applications. IMs will have to be familiar with the tool and adapt their internal processes related to ERTMS trackside tenders. Time: Although the Agency has a 3-month period to assess applications, this timeframe may become longer in the case of a shortage of resources to assess applications. IMs may need to cater for longer approval periods on EU level and on national level regarding ERTMS tack side tenders and adapt their internal planning. Legal: In the case of a non-approval of an application, the applicant can launch a complaint via a so-called Board of Appeal. This Board of Appeal is located with the Agency and made up of different experts. A complaint may mean a delay in the approval process and also additional fees in the case that the complaint of the infrastructure manager was rejected. In general, the 4th Railway Package introduced new procedures and costs for the rail infrastructure manager while it will reduce procedures and costs for rail operators and manufacturers.
What is the issue list?	Following internal preparatory work and the 'learning cases' conducted by the Agency staff with various rail infrastructure managers, the Agency set up a so- called 'issue list' for various topics, including ERTMS. It shall help address issues of joint concerns related to ERTMS and was endorsed by the sector and NSAs. The list is also an excellent tool for the ERTMs trackside approval process and includes feedback and observations from the 'learning cases' of the Agency with several rail infrastructure managers. One of the positive outcome of this list is that the ERTMS track side approval process now includes the possibility of re-using the documentation or parts of it that were provided in a previous positive approval, without the need for the Agency to re-assess them.



	IMs have an interest in providing feedback on ERTMS track side application processes. The more 'standardised' the procedure becomes, the higher the chances to reduce the overall burden of the process for the applicant.
	The ERTMS Stakeholder Platform was established on 15 th December 2015 to address ERTMS related issues by all related stakeholders investing and/or using the system. The Platform brings together the EU (EC and Agency), the suppliers and manufacturers and the users (IMs, RUs). The platform is co-chaired by the EC and the Agency.
	The main purpose of the Platform is to facilitate a fully synchronised approach to the development of ERTMS, in order to ensure an economically beneficial ERTMS setting across Europe.
	The structure and interfaces of the ERTMS Stakeholder Platform are illustrated in the organisational chart below:
What is the role of the ERTMS Stakeholders Platform?	 FRTMS Stakeholder Framework (Automatic Train Operations) Source: EU Agency for Railways The platform covers the following topics: ERTMS status report and the long-term perspective (state of play of CCS TSI, harmonised specifications, future validation of functionalities). speeding-up of ERTMS deployment. supplier's proposals on testing and certification. setting-up of necessary working groups. EIM is part of the Platform via its ERTMS speaker Henri van Houten (ProRail). In 2018 the Platform agreed to focus all the efforts on the ERTMS deployment and it has committed to take the necessary steps to achieve the deployment targets planned for 2019. Within the ERTMS Stakeholders Platform, the railway sector also pointed out the importance of the following aspects:
	 Interoperability: the need to focus on transparency of manufactures and functional maintenance of deployment. Economic drivers: the need to focus on reducing cost; Deployment: the need to focus on avoiding delays and mitigate delay risks to comply with deadlines in EDP and NIP. Compliant infrastructure: through the use of the baseline 3 as a reference; Shift2Rail: to support this EU joint undertaking as the main driver for setting
	out the innovation framework for business cases on modular approaches



5. ERTMS in the future

Does ERTMS have a bright future?	 Well, that depends. As the previous sections have shown, ERTMS is a system constantly on the move and as the European railway sector is about to become digital, the requirements regarding interoperability, economies of scale, reliability, cost effectiveness, life cycles and upgrades, etc. raise in importance. The future of ERTMS will certainly be influenced by the following events: The formal shift from the current national to the future EU authorisation and certification system on June 2019, introducing the new ERTMS trackside approval procedure. The EU Agency for Railways acting as the <i>de jure and de facto</i> ERTMS System Authority from June 2019 onwards. The deployment of the ERTMS outside the EU and the reimportation of ERTMS know-how by non-EU actors in Europe based on European technology (but with 'variants'). The digitalisation of interlockings, block systems and traffic management systems⁴. The development and deployment of Automatic Train Operations (ATO) requiring a stable ERTMS and increased supervision. The role of laboratory testing centres are to reduce tests in the field and thereby decreasing costs and time. The growing need for interoperable ERTMS sub-systems, requiring new open technologies. The increasing cost of development, deployment and maintenance of ERTMS reduces the profit margin for suppliers and increases the costs for customers.
What about the global evolution of ERTMS ?	In contrast to the 'meagre' 4.400 km of lines equipped with ERTMS in Europe, non-European markets are much more ambitious, bringing together some 88.000 km of railway tracks and nearly 120.000 vehicles operating with ERTMS. Significant investments are currently being made in Asia (31% of total ERTMS trackside investments), Africa and in the Middle East (11%). ERTMS is deployed for various applications, from freight (e.g. in Gulf countries) to high-speed lines (Chinese network or Mecca-Medina High-speed line), and suburban transport (Auckland, Sydney and Rio de Janeiro suburban networks).

⁴ In November 2018, the EU Agency for Railways published a study on "Digitalization of control command and signaling and the transition to ERTMS" (see: <u>https://www.era.europa.eu/library/studies_en</u>)







	with ERTMS. The system is also in service in suburban lines with commuter traffic (e.g. Madrid).
	In March 2018, Norway announced that it will carry out a country-wide overhaul of its rail signalling system over the next two decades based on ERTMS.
	Despite this progress, much work is still required to achieve an EU-wide deployment of an interoperable system. Indeed, the ERTMS deployed so far does not constitute an interoperable system yet. This has different causes:
	Few suppliers: Since around 10 years, the rail supply industry is shrinking, due to ongoing mergers and take-overs. Today, there are 5 suppliers in Europe, delivering ERTMS to rail infrastructure managers, with only 3 of them being European: Siemens, Alstom and Thales. The proposed merger between the market leaders Siemens and Alstom in 2018 also triggered an intensive debate in wider Europe regarding the European rail supply industry, the need for a European champion in rail supply and the EU competition, industry and trade policies.
	The design of ERTMS related products: For historical reasons there is no single version of ERTMS in the European market but rather many company-specific ones. This approach causes an increasing 'vendor-lock-in effect' on the buyers as they cannot buy from the shelf. However, this contrasts with the growing need for interoperable systems due to the development of the single European railway area ('SERA), the TEN-T deployment and the set-up of Rail Freight Corridors as well as the need of a fully harmonised basis for the future digitalisation technology (e.g. automatic train operations (ATO), digital traffic management, etc.).
	The fast pace of digitalisation: ERTMS suppliers face more and more the effects of their rail-specific proprietary technology which needs to be maintained over min 25 years. With new technology becoming much quicker obsolete due to shorter innovation cycles, ensuring backwards compatibility and proper maintenance of 25 years old technology heavily impacts the profit margins of the suppliers. On the buyer's side, IMs and RUs face increasing problems to capitalise for the increasing number and scope of upgrades, renewals, bug-fixings, etc. ERTMS faces the risk to increase costs via a lack of economies of scale.
	To speed up interoperability of the different systems, the ERA saw its mandate enlarged in 2016 to become the European ERTMS System Authority. Since then, the Agency has set up a variety of platforms, working parties and subgroups. Furthermore, the 4th Railway Package has introduced a new procedure for rail infrastructure managers to seek authorisation for their ERTMS track-side tenders from ERA (see section 4).
	Fast digitalisation and increasing needs for interoperability bring the sector to new technical, economic and commercial challenges. A faster development and more cost/ efficient deployment of ERTMS will depend on the ability of the sector to face successfully these "game changers".
How does ERTMS interface with Automatic Train Operations (ATO)?	ERTMS and ETCS are now the recognised worldwide interoperable solutions for Automatic Train Protection (ATP). The next evolution will consist in assisting the driver directly in driving the train, by adding Automatic Train Operation (ATO) to the ATP system.
	Because of the great diversity of trains and infrastructure in main line operations, an ATO system must be highly flexible. The ATO functional features depend on the signalling system implemented trackside. There are





⁵ http://www.irse.org/knowledge/publicdocuments/2.06%20Bienfait%20-%20Automatic%20Train%20Operation%20for%20ETCS.pdf



	onwards which does not exist yet. Hence, the sector works since 2012 to identify a successor technology for GSM-R, called "Future Railway Mobile Communications System" (in short: FRMCS) under the supervision of the EU Agency for Railways.
What are the key challenges related to a new communications technology ?	Given the complexity of telecommunication technology, the following challenges need to be addressed:
	 Spectrum: Needed for the future system as well as for GSM-R during the migration phase. There will be a massive competition for this spectrum. The need to keep GSM-R running alongside the FRMCS: this means that additional harmonised spectrum will be a vital requirement, and the industry needs to start preparing for now. The timeline for migration: It will vary between countries, impacting on the interoperability of the system, as legacy and future systems are likely to coexist for many years.
	In 2017, EIM and CER suggested that the 873-876MHz/918-921MHz band should be reserved in addition to the current GSM-R band for FRMCS. It was stated that this was the most favourable option to re-use infrastructure investment and allow a smoother migration.
	Moving to FRMCS should not only be dictated by the future obsolescence of GSM-R. FRMCS will use broadband technology and offer a much higher communications capacity for railway applications. This will enable to optimise operations by leveraging this capacity for future signalling and / or business support systems. FRMCS will also ensure that there is a clear separation between the applications and the communication layer, allowing to prioritise the use of spectrum based on business criticality.
	While this new technology should still contain ad-hoc specifications made for the railway sector (such as the emergency calls or group calls), the IMs would favour to deploy communication systems based on available market technology ('from the shelf') to avoid costly rail-specific proprietary technology.
	The Agency is also preparing the introduction of the FRMCS system in the ERTMS standard (CCS TSI). The aim is to deliver the first functional requirement specification for the FRMCS in 2020 with the first FRMCS deployment (pilot) in 2023.
	The chart below summarizes the main activities linked to FRMCS:
	Overview of FRMCS activities 2016-2017 2018 2019 2020 2021-2022 2023-2024
	URS v2.0 URS v4.0 FRMCS FRS FRS first draft r FRS final Technical studies
	System Functions Requirement Specifications SRS carly draft UIC Use Cases for R16 and R12 GRPP Release 16 – Normative work Proof of Concept, Trial, Pilots
	FRMCS SRDoc ECC Spectrum activities Spectrum Conclusions FM Soft ECC spectrop Decision ETSI standardisation work
	Product development R16 products available r R17 products available r ERA Legislative work CCS TSI 1 st reference CCS TSI update Side 8

Chart: ERA



How does ERTMS interface with Galileo?	In 2016, Galileo, the European global navigation system launched its initial services. It is a European state-of-the-art system that provides highly accurate guaranteed global positioning and super precise timing. Once fully deployed in 2020, Galileo will consist of 24 operational satellites and 6 in orbit spares. Galileo is unique as it is under civilian control, while all other satellite systems are operated by military forces. In 2019, the EU Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) announced that 27 satellites and 6 in orbit spares.
	of Galileo are in operation, improving the functionalities of GPS with a more accurate positioning at no cost for the users.
	The benefits of combining ERTMS and Galileo will not only improve the positioning and location of the railway, but also the reliability, safety and efficiency of railways operations.
	Galileo aims at being used as the positioning system for ERTMS. On 24 th February 2017, for the first time a European rail test journey was completed in Sardinia (IT) using the positioning technology provided by Galileo. The test was an initiative of the Horizon 2020-funded Project "ERTMS on Satellite – Enabling Application Validation" (ERSAT EAV ⁶).
	The test journey demonstrated the ability of Galileo to monitor and safely manage rail traffic on conventional secondary, local and regional rail lines with the aim to become an integral part of ERTMS. The advantages of this include:
	 increase traffic capacity available to railway undertakings. guarantee high safety standards and punctuality. lower operating costs with new technological equipment that requires. less investments in installation and maintenance. maintaining a sustainable and competitive railway system.
	Although the European rail sector understands the potential of Galileo, its adoption is limited due to a lack of clear definitions and definitive testing.
	Before Galileo is fully adopted in rail, the sector needs to be confident that the train localisation based on the technology will satisfy European safety standards. One of the objectives of the ERSAT EAV Project is indeed to specify and standardise satellite positioning to ensure harmonisation with ERTMS standards.
What are the other main industry initiatives to boost interoperability?	Since 2014, several initiatives at industry level have emerged with the aim to boost interoperability among proprietary ERTMS technologies of the suppliers, so that to overcome some of the current shortfall limiting the ERTMS fast development and deployment. The main ones are:
	Reference CCS Architecture – (RCA ⁷)
	The RCA is an initiative developed since 2016 by rail infrastructure managers within the scope of the ERTMS User Group. Its main aim is to develop a common system architecture platform with common interface specifications for the components of the Command-Control and Signalling (CCS).
	RCA aims for upwards and downwards compatibility of the common interfaces between suppliers' blocks operating under the system architecture to make them independent from any specific communications technology and free from IP rights. The RCA also targets a "low life cost" by introducing the concept of a modular architecture.
	This architecture approach is not limited to the ERTMS technology as such, but the entire CCS landscape. Indeed, the CCS components outside the

⁶

http://www.ersat-eav.eu/home.aspx https://ertms.be/workgroups/ccs_architecture 7



trackside safety part will be considered as far as relevant in order to define the interfaces with these components, with an overall view on CCS. Furthermore, the development of the RCA architecture is based on the definitions and principles included in the ERTMS TSI CCS, but also in the specifications defined by the EULynx consortium (see below).
The ERTMS Users Group presented the RCA initiative in February 2019 and its members have reached out to the Shift2Rail Joint Undertaking to explore possible funding.
EULynx ⁸
This initiative was also initiated in 2014 by rail infrastructure managers with the aim to standardise the interfaces and elements of the signalling systems and to complement the RCA initiative. EULynx seeks inclusive standards and mainly focuses on the interfaces between the interlocking and the field elements (level crossings, point machines etc.). It also foresees a security layer to be embedded in the future technology. As of today, EULynx remains an initiative mainly supported by infrastructure managers with limited support from the rail supply industry.
Smart Rail 4.0 ⁹
In 2017, the Swiss state railways SBB developed a new initiative to digitalise its CCS with the objective to prepare the Swiss railway system for the future 'game changers', such as ATO, ETCS level 3, the future rail mobile communication systems (from 2023 onwards), onboard location identification and the automation of the wider traffic management systems.
Open CCS Onboard Reference Architecture – OCORA
In 2018, several railway undertakings developed their own initiative, called OCORA. It is the successor approach to the former 'open ETCS' initiative.
The goal of OCORA is to set common standards for the onboard modular architecture for the CCS functionality on the vehicle to reduce costs and workload for upgrades and extensions while simplifying homologation.
EIM considers that ERA as the European ERTMS system authority has an important role in coordinating these different sector initiatives to allow for cross-fertilisation of ideas, approaches and solutions.

⁸

https://www.eulynx.eu/ https://smartrail40.ch/index.asp?inc=en/program.asp 9



Index

4th Railway Package	.18, 20
873-876MHz	26
918-921MHz	26
Automatic Train Operations	.22, 24
Automatic Train Protection	6, 24
Board of Appeal	20
CCS TSI 2016/919	9, 14
China	8, 23
Commission Implementing Regulation (EU)	2017/6
	13
Connecting Europe Facility	16
Core Network Corridor regulation	11
DIR (EU) 2016/797	19
EFSI 2.0 Regulation	16
EIM Beginners Guide on the 4 th Railway Pac	kage
	18
ERSAT EAV project	27
ERTMS European Deployment Plan	13
ERTMS Stakeholder Platform	21
ERTMs system authority	19
ERTMS track-side approval	18
ERTMS trackside approval procedure	.19, 22
ERTMS Users Group	28
EU Agency for Railways7, 14, 18, 19,	22, 26
EU DG MOVE	18
EULynx	28
European Deployment Plans	11
European Fund for Strategic Investments	.16, 30
European Investment Bank	17
European Structural and Investment Funds	16
European Train Control System	6
Fees and charges	18
Future Railway Mobile Communication Syste	em22

Galileo	27
Global System for Mobile Communication	s -
Railways	6
harmonised operational rulebook	20
India	8
infrastructure managers	18
Innovation and Networks Executive Agend	cy11
interoperability	18
issue list	20
learning cases	18, 20
Memoranda of Understanding	14
Memorandums of Understanding	11
National Implementation Plans	11, 14
national safety authorities	19, 20
Norway	24
OCORA	28
One-Stop-Shop	20
RCA	27, 28, 31
Regulation (EU) No 1315/2013	11
Rhine-Alpine corridor	12
Saudi Arabia	8, 23
Shift2Rail1	7, 21, 28
single European railway area	6, 8, 11
Single European Railway Area	10
Smart Rail 4.0	28
South Korea	8
Spectrum	26
Taiwan	8
Trans-European Network Transport	9, 11
Turkey	23
UNISIG	30
United Kingdom	14



References

- ACTION PLAN PROGRESS REPORT Making the best use of new financial schemes for European transport infrastructure projects - <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/cbs2_report_final.pdf</u>
- ALSTOM PRESS RELEASE ATLANTIC CORRIDOR: <u>http://www.alstom.com/es/press-centre/2016/1/comienza-la-instalacion-del-sistema-de-senalizacion-ertms-en-el-eje-atlantico-/</u>
- https://ec.europa.eu/transport/node/2443
- P BANENOR NIP: https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/national_implementation_plan_norway_0.pdf
- BELGIUM NIP: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/national_implementation_plan_belgium_0.pdf</u>
- CCS TSI 2016/919 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32016R0919&from=EN
- COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2017/6 of 5 January 2017 on the European Rail Traffic Management System European deployment plan
- COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT Delivering an effective and interoperable European Rail Traffic Management System (ERTMS) – the way ahead
- CONNECTING EUROPE FACILITY <u>https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/connecting-europe-facility</u>
- EC ERTMS FACT SHEET <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/2017-01-05-memo-ertms.pdf</u>
- *The state of the play https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/rail/ertms/general-information_en*
- EC EUROPEAN CORRIDORS INFO: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transport/themes/infrastructure_en</u>
- P ERTMS ADIF INFO: http://www.adif.es/es_ES/ocio_y_cultura/fichas_informativas/ficha_informativa_00026.shtml
- ERTMS DEPLOYMENT ACTION PLAN: <u>http://www.era.europa.eu/Document-Register/Documents/Keir%20Fitch%2C%20EC.pdf</u>
- ERTMS Problems SPAIN SANTIAGO ACCIDENT: <u>https://www.eldiario.es/sociedad/Ertms-AVE-Alvia-descarrilamiento-Siemens-Thales-Ansaldo-Cobra_0_160284282.html</u>
- # ERTMS-UNISIG: <u>http://www.ertms.net/?p=808</u>
- EUROPEAN COURT OF AUDITORS ERTMS STUDY REALLY GOOD: <u>https://www.eca.europa.eu/Lists/ECADocuments/SR17_13/SR_ERTMS_RAIL_EN.pdf</u>
- EUROPEAN EDP AND NIP EC: https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/rail/ertms/ertms_deployment_en
- EUROPEAN STRUCTURAL AND INVESTMENT FUNDS (ESIF): https://ec.europa.eu/eip/ageing/funding/ESIF_en
- EUROPEAN FUND FOR STRATEGIC INVESTMENTS (EFSI) https://www.eib.org/en/efsi/
- EY and INECO: <u>http://www.seetoint.org/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2017/07/Technical-support-for-the-Deployment-of-ERTMS-along-the-core-network-corridors-Mr.-Giacomo-Potenza.compressed.pdf</u>
- FERROPEDIA ERTMS: <u>http://ferropedia.es/wiki/ERTMS</u>
- http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32017R0006&from=EN
- https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/swd20170375-ertms-the-way-ahead.pdf
- REGULATION (EU) No 1315/2013 -Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network: <u>http://publications.europa.eu/resource/cellar/f277232a-699e-11e3-8e4e-01aa75ed71a1.0006.01/DOC_1</u>
- REFERENCE CCS ARCHITECTURE, RCA <u>https://ertms.be/workgroups/ccs_architecture</u>
- SPAIN NIP: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/national_implementation_plan_spain_0.pdf</u>
- SR ENGINEERING ERTMS CHALLENGES <u>http://www.srengineering.ch/upload/dokumente/SRE%20ECaB%20Brochure%20E.pdf</u>
- SYNTHESIS OF NIPs: https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/rail-nip/20180302-synthesis-report-on-nip.pdf
- TEN-T INTERACTIVE MAP: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/transport/infrastructure/tentec/tentec-portal/map/maps.html#Filter_page</u>
- TEN-T: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/ten-t</u>
- TRAFIKVERKET CBA ERTMS STUDY <u>https://trafikverket.ineko.se/Files/sv-SE/11754/RelatedFiles/2016_081_Cost_effectiveness_of_ERTMS_in_Sweden.pdf</u>
- TRANSPORT BLENDING FACILITY https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/connecting-europe-facility/cef-transport/applyfunding/blending-facility